

Protecting Clients with Brain Injury: New Science, New Methods for Assessing Disability

Dr. Valerie E. Stone, Ph.D.
Answers About Competency, LLC
www.assesscompetency.com

5 June, 2009 Disability Law Section CLE
Social Security Disability Hot Topics 2009

Not the same old rap

- Traumatic brain injury (TBI)*
 - Complexity
 - Variability
- Past methods of assessment were incomplete
 - New science
 - New methods
- A case example
 - The Receptionist Who Was Not Employable

* throughout slides, jargon terms are in blue – these are in your “Jargon-English” handout

All areas of disability need to be measured for people with TBI


- Consequences of TBI
 - Cognitive problems (e.g., attention, memory)
 - Problems with social behaviour & controlling emotions
- Objective assessment of people with TBI includes
 - measuring cognitive problems. Period.
 - What's wrong with this picture?
 - Objective assessment of social judgment problems is needed.
- Psychologists & laypeople tend to believe that
 - Cognitive problems have a basis in damaged brain tissue,
 - ...but social & emotional problems are not brain-based.

In fact, those beliefs are wrong

- Research in the past 30 years shows that
 - Damage to the brain can impair social understanding
 - Damage to the brain can impair emotional processing
- “Social neuroscience” is one of the fastest growing areas of neuroscience research.
- The social judgment problems experienced by people with TBI can be measured objectively. We can & should assess ability to:
 - recognize emotions in others
 - detect deception, resist exploitation
 - judge appropriate or inappropriate social behaviour
 - use conversation appropriately

Basics about TBI

TBI = a blow or jolt to the head that disrupts the function of the brain




Images adapted from http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Main_Page


Where does damage occur after TBI?

Short answer: potentially anywhere

focal lesions
axons
diffuse axonal injury (DAI)



STRETCHED TO THE LIMIT: Shock waves can damage healthy brain cells. [a] Sometimes just lightly bending the cell is enough to do the job.
THE DOWNWARD SPIRAL: The shearing not only causes physical damage to cells but can also unleash a biochemical cascade that eventually causes cells to self-destruct [b].



Images from Milson & Levin (1990) & from <http://www.popsci.com/military-evolution-102326-space/article/008-08/stock-system?page=1>

Basics about TBI

- TBI is a process, not an event – a biochemical process
 - the brain damage from this event can go on for up to a month afterwards.
- Categorized as mild, moderate, severe
 - based on what happens at the accident & immediately afterwards
 - Glasgow Coma Scale, post-traumatic amnesia, loss of consciousness
- “...the search for simplicity must not be the excuse for seeking absolute distinctions where none exist.” *
 - Mild, moderate, & severe consequences do not always map onto mild, moderate, & severe TBI

* Teasdale & Jennett, 1974, *The Lancet*, Vol. 304, Issue 7872

Assessment following TBI

- M.D.'s & psychologists usually do the assessments
- Brain scans – usually a **CT scan** to see if there's bleeding
- Psychological testing
 - Cognitive
 - Social/emotional
 - Life skills
 - Tests of effort during testing session

“No objective findings”



- Often, the only brain imaging done is a **CT scan** taken on the day of the injury.
- Medically necessary, but diagnostically incomplete.
- Limitations of day-of-injury CT scans
 - small areas of damage or small structures don't show up
 - TBI causes damage to “white matter”, which doesn't show on CT scans
 - damage to brain tissue from injury may continue for up to a month

Image from <http://www.thecni.org/stroke/surgery.htm>

“No objective findings” – options

- Traditional MRI scans have limits too
- “Diffusion tensor imaging” (DTI) appears most sensitive
- Expense - only “medically necessary” scans will be done
- Things to say about “objective findings” in a hearing



Psychological assessment: Not all cognitive measures are created equal

- Many commonly-used cognitive tests are *not* scientifically proven to be sensitive to TBI.
 - Not all tests are relevant to patient's long-term outcome
 - “Executive functioning” refers to many different abilities
 - Which tests work in chronic phase (chronic=18+ months after injury)
 - Digit Symbol Coding, Trail-Making Test B, Design Fluency
 - Which tests are either not sensitive to TBI or not related to outcome?

Trail-making test, Part B

Patient's task: 1 – A – 2 – B – 3 – C – 4 – D – 5 – E ...

12	D	G	J	
5		H		
9		C	3	
2	K		G	11
		7	E	
		1	A	
B	L	4	6	8
		F		
I			10	

Psychological assessment: Not all cognitive measures are created equal

- Many commonly-used cognitive tests are not scientifically proven to be sensitive to TBI.
 - Not all tests are relevant to patient's long-term outcome
 - Which tests are sensitive to TBI and related to outcome
 - Digit Symbol Coding, Trail-Making Test B, Design Fluency
 - Hayling Sentence Completion Test, Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test, Sustained Attention to Response Test
 - Which are either not sensitive to TBI or not related to outcome
 - Wisconsin Card Sorting Test, Stroop Test, Tower of London Test (or Tower of Hanoi), Halstead Impairment Index on Halstead-Reitan Battery
 - Cognitive Estimates tests, most subtests of the Delis-Kaplan Executive Functioning System (D-KEFS).


Psychological assessment: Social measures are necessary

- We now know brain damage can cause social judgment problems
 - How to assess social judgment deficits
 - Qualitative reports
 - Questionnaires (filled out by TBI patient or caregiver)
 - Performance measures
 - TASIT, PFIC, FCS, Faux pas detection (see references at end)

Psychological assessment: is the client really disabled or just trying to appear that way?

• “Malingering” tests

- Test could seem hard, but is easy even for severely impaired people
- Less than chance performance
- Easy vs. hard items
- In testimony, use tests known to be sensitive – not the Rey or Warrington



• Issues with assessing malingering in minorities

- If English is not native language, client's score may be below "malingering" cutoff even if they are not malingering.

Where to get more information

- This Powerpoint presentation, with references to books and scientific journal articles, and an audio podcast of a practice talk are available at my web site www.assesscompetency.com/attyresources.html
- Free conference call Tuesday, June 16, 2009, 10am-11am. Email me at vestone@assesscompetency.com to get details.
- See your handouts in the conference booklet for the “Jargon Dictionary”, more online resources, links to the Brain Injury Association, and psychological organizations that can refer neuropsychologists. (I do not do assessments – I’m just an educator and researcher.)
- Future CLE workshops on brain injury:
 - March 19, 2010, Denver, CO; Sept. 24, Oakland, CA; Oct. 8, Denver, CO.

Attorney survey about disability & TBI

- I will hand out paper versions now.
- You can also get a survey online later at www.assesscompetency.com/survey.html
- If you participate in the survey and are interested in the results, I will email you or mail you a summary of the results.
- Preliminary results have been interesting so far.
- Thank you!

References (not an exhaustive list)

- Social deficits are common following TBI:**
Langlois, J.A., W. Rutland-Brown, and M.M. Wald. The Epidemiology and Impact of Traumatic Brain Injury: A Brief Overview. *Journal of Head Trauma Rehabilitation*. 2006. Vol. 21(5): p. 375-378.
McDonald, S., et al. TASIT: A New Clinical Tool for Assessing Social Perception After Traumatic Brain Injury. *Journal of Head Trauma Rehabilitation*. 2003. Vol. 18(3): p. 219-238.
- Social deficits are strong predictors of long-term outcomes for TBI patients:**
Owensworth, T. and J. Fleming. The relative importance of metacognitive skills, emotional status, and executive function in psychosocial adjustment following acquired brain injury. *J Head Trauma Rehabil*. 2005. 20(4): p. 315-32.
Milders, M., S. Fuchs, and J.R. Crawford. Neuropsychological impairments and changes in emotional and social behaviour following severe traumatic brain injury. *J Clin & Exper Neuropsychol*. 2003. Vol. 25(2): p. 157-172.
Owensworth, T.L. and K. McKenna. Investigation of factors related to employment outcome following traumatic brain injury: A critical review and conceptual model. *Disability and Rehabilitation*. 2004. Vol. 26(13): p. 765-784.
Bond, F. and H.P.D. Godfrey. Conversation with traumatically brain-injured individuals: A controlled study of behavioural changes and their impact. *Brain Injury*. 1997. Vol. 11(5): p. 319-329.
Dahlberg, C., et al. Social communication skills in persons with post-acute traumatic brain injury: Three perspectives. *Brain Injury*. 2006. Vol. 20(4): p. 425-435.
- Where focal lesion damage occurs in TBI:**
Bergeson, A.G., Luridin, R., Parkinson, R. B., Tate, D. F., Victoroff, J., Hopkins, R. O., et al. (2004) Clinical rating of cortical atrophy and cognitive correlates following traumatic brain injury. *The Clinical Neuropsychologist*. Vol. 18(4), pp. 509-520.
Devinsky, O., & D'Esposito, M. (2004). *Neurology of Cognitive and Behavioral Disorders* (Vol. 68). New York: Oxford University Press.
Matsun, A. J., & Levin, H. S. (1990). Frontal lobe dysfunction following closed head injury. A review of the literature. *Journal of Nervous & Mental Disease*. Vol. 178(5), pp. 282-291.
- Ongoing processes that cause brain cell damage over several days, up to a month following the injury event:**
Mild Traumatic Brain Injury and Postconcussion Syndrome: The New Evidence Base for Diagnosis and Treatment (AACN Workshop Series), by M.A. McCrea (NY: Oxford University Press, 2007).
- Diffusion tensor imaging as a sensitive measure of damage following even mild TBI:**
Arfanakis, K., Haughton, V.M., Carew, J. D., Rogers, B. P., Demsey, R. J., & Meyerand, M. E. (2002). Diffusion tensor MR imaging in diffuse axonal injury. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. Vol. 23(5), 794-802.
Kraus MF, Suskarnas T, Caughlin BP, Walker CJ, Sweeney JA, & Little DM (2007). White matter integrity and cognition in chronic traumatic brain injury: a diffusion tensor imaging study. *Brain*. Vol. 130(10), 2508-19.
Kumar R, Husain M, Gupta RK, Hasan KM, Hars M, Agarwal AK, Pandey CM, & Narayana PA (2009). Serial changes in the white matter diffusion tensor imaging metrics in moderate traumatic brain injury and correlation with neuro-cognitive function. *J of Neurotrauma*, Feb 5.

Which cognitive tests are most sensitive to TBI and which are not:

Burgess, P., & Shallice, T. (1997). *The Hayling and Brixton Tests*. Bury St Edmunds: Thames Valley Test Company.
Busch, R. M., McBride, A., Curtiss, G., & Vanderploeg, R. D. (2005). The components of executive functioning in traumatic brain injury. *Journal of Clinical and Experimental Neuropsychology*. Vol. 27(8), 1022-1032.
Ponsford, J., Draper, K. & Schorberger, M (2008). Functional outcome 10 years after traumatic brain injury: its relationship with demographic, injury severity, and cognitive and emotional status. *Journal of the International Neuropsychological Society*. Vol. 14(2), 233-42.
Varney, N. R., Roberts, R. J., Struchen, M. A., Hanson, T. V., & et al. (1996). Design fluency among normals and patients with closed head injury. *Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology*. Vol. 11(4), 345-353.

Objective measures of social deficits following TBI:

PFIC - Linscott, R.J., Knight, R. G., & Godfrey, H.P.D. (1996). The Profile of Functional Impairment in Communication (PFIC): A measure of communication impairment for clinical use. *Brain Injury*. Vol 10(6), pp. 397-412.

TASIT

McDonald, S., et al. TASIT: A New Clinical Tool for Assessing Social Perception After Traumatic Brain Injury. *Journal of Head Trauma Rehabilitation*. 2003. 18(3): p. 219-238.

McDonald, S., et al. Reliability and validity of The Awareness of Social Inference Test (TASIT): A clinical test of social perception. *Disability and Rehabilitation*. 2006. Vol. 28(24): p. 1529-1542.

Faux Pas Recognition Test - Faux pas test available at www2.psy.uq.edu.au/~stone/order.html

Gregory, G., Lough, S., Stone, V.E. et al. (2002). Theory of mind in patients with frontal variant frontotemporal dementia and Alzheimer's disease: theoretical and practical implications. *Brain*. Vol. 125(Pt 4), 752-764.

Milders, M., Ietswaart, M., Crawford, J. R., & Currie, D. (2006). Impairments in Theory of Mind Shortly After Traumatic Brain Injury and at 1-Year Follow-Up. *Neuropsychology*. Vol. 20(4), 400-406.

Milders, M., Ietswaart, M., Crawford, J.R., & Currie, D. (2008). Social behavior following traumatic brain injury and its association with emotion recognition, understanding of intentions, and cognitive flexibility. *Journal of the International Neuropsychological Society*. Vol. 14(2), 318-326. *Erratum in Vol. 14(3)*.

Stone, V. E. (2005). Theory of mind and the evolution of social intelligence. In Cacioppo, John T. (Ed); Visser, Penny S. (Ed); Pickett, Cynthia L. (Ed). *Social Neuroscience: People thinking about thinking people*. Cambridge, MA, US: MIT Press.

Stone, V. E., Baron-Cohen, S., & Knight, R. T. (1998). Frontal lobe contributions to theory of mind. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*. Vol. 10(5), pp. 640-656.

Stone, V. E., Cosmides, L., Tooby, J., Kroll, N., & Knight, R. T. (2002). Selective impairment of reasoning about social exchange in a patient with bilateral limbic system damage. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 99(17), 11531-11536.

FCS

Drummond, S. & Boss, M. (2004). Functional communication screening in individuals with traumatic brain injury. *Brain Injury*. V. 18 (1), pp. 41-56.

Assessing malingering effectively for Caucasian and minority clients:

Assessment of Feigned Cognitive Impairment: A Neuropsychological Perspective, Edited by K.B. Boone (NY: Guilford Press, 2007).

Additional jargon terms defined

Edema: swelling. The brain swells after a traumatic brain injury, putting increased pressure on brain tissue.

Subdural hematoma: the brain has an outer protective covering called the *dura*, and after a trauma to the head, tearing of blood vessels can cause blood to gather beneath the *dura*. It puts pressure on the brain tissue underneath, causing damage, and could bleed into brain tissue, which floods and kills brain cells.

Prefrontal cortex: The backmost part of the frontal lobes is called the motor area, an area of the frontal lobes that sends movement commands to different body parts. Everything in front of the motor area is called "pre-frontal" cortex.

Post-concussion syndrome: Same as mild traumatic brain injury, but this term is used more in sports medicine. Medical definitions of the two conditions overlap completely.

TASIT = The Awareness of Social Inference Test: a video-based test developed by Skye McDonald in Australia that has 3 subtests, one testing recognition of emotional facial expressions, one easy test of understanding of sarcasm, irony, and deception, and one harder test of understanding sarcasm, irony, and deception. This test has been normed, mostly on Caucasian Australians, and validated for TBI patients.

PFIC = Profile of Functional Impairment in Communication: A rating scale developed by Linscott, Knight, & Godfrey in New Zealand, in which trained clinicians observe conversations that patients have and rate each person for how effective his or her communication is, including not only the content of communication, but also the social aspects of communication. It has been well-validated for use with TBI patients, and normed, mostly on Caucasians.

FCS = Functional Communication Scale: A rating scale developed by Drummond & Boss, in which trained clinicians observe conversations that patients have and rate each person for how effective his or her verbal communication is. Validation of the scale is partial - scores on the scale are correlated with other measures of language impairment, but comparison of brain-damaged individuals to healthy individuals has not yet been published, and collection of norms is not completed.

WAIS = Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale: A standard IQ test consisting of many subtests, testing both verbal and spatial intelligence. The WAIS-III is still sometimes used; the WAIS-IV is the latest version.